LEGAL ETHICS OPINION 1850 Revised SERVICES.

OUTSOURCING OF LEGAL

This opinion deals with the ethical issues involved when a lawyer considers outsourcing legal or non-legal support services to lawyers or paralegals. Many lawyers already engage in some form of outsourcing to provide more efficient and effective service to their clients. Outsourcing takes many forms: reproduction of materials, document retention database creation, conducting legal research, case and litigation management, drafting legal memoranda or briefs, reviewing discovery materials, conducting patent searches, and drafting contracts, for example. Law firms have always and will always engage other lawyers and nonlawyers in the provision of various legal and non-legal support services. Legal outsourcing can be highly beneficial to the lawyer and the client, since it gives the lawyer the opportunity to seek the services of outside lawyers and staff in complex matters. Legal outsourcing also gives sole practitioners and small law firms more flexibility in not having to hire staff or employees when they experience temporary work overflows for which a contract lawyer or non-lawyer may be appropriate.

A few examples of outsourcing arrangements are:

- 1. A Virginia law firm retains an outsourced law firm in India to conduct patent searches and to prepare patent applications for some of its clients. Lawyers and nonlawyers at the outsourced firm may work on the matters. The outsourced firm will not have access to any client confidences except confidential information that is necessary to perform the patent searches and prepare the patent applications. The outsourced law firm regularly does patent searches and applications for U.S. law firms. In some situations, the outsourced law firm might be hired through an intermediary company that verifies the credentials of the firm and checks conflicts; in other situations, the Virginia law firm might directly retain the outsourced law firm.
- 2. A Virginia law firm occasionally hires Lawyer Z, who works for several firms on an asneeded contract basis, to perform specific legal tasks such as legal research and drafting legal memoranda and briefs, Lawyer Z is a Virginia-licensed lawyer who works out of her home and works on an hourly basis for the law firm, but does not meet with firm clients. She has access to firm files and matters only as needed for the discrete tasks she is hired to perform.
- 3. A Virginia law firm sends legal work involving legal research and brief writing to a legal research "think tank" to produce work product that is then incorporated into the work product of the law firm.

On the other hand, a situation that may be colloquially called "outsourcing" but that does not raise any of the concerns identified in this opinion is: a Virginia law firm regularly hires Lawyer Y to perform specific legal tasks for them, which may or may not involve contact with firm clients, working directly with and under the supervision of lawyers in the law firm. In that scenario, Lawyer Y is working under the direct supervision of lawyers in the firm and has full access to information about the firm's clients, and therefore is associated with the firm for purposes of the Rules of Professional Conduct, including confidentiality and conflicts.

Deleted:
Del

Deleted: With the uptick in outsourcing, the Committee would like to consider a number of ethical concerns raised by outsourcing models: conflicts of interest, confidentiality, scope of representation, professional independence and billing, and the unauthorized practice of law and supervision of nonlawyers. There are many variations of outsourcing arrangements and the Committee would like to consider several common scenarios to provide guidance on the ethical issues. For purposes of this opinion, the Committeen

Deleted:
Deleted:
Deleted:

Deleted: with the exception of Deleted:

Deleted: routinely

Deleted: many

Deleted:

Deleted: Would it make a difference if the outsourced law

Deleted: ,

Deleted: Law Firm: ¶

Deleted: for them,

Deleted:

Deleted: memorandum

Deleted: , and other related legal work.

Deleted:

Deleted: Virginia Law Firm

Deleted: Even though she works remotely, she

Deleted: complete

Deleted: and works directly with and under

Deleted: direct supervision of Partner A with the Virginia. [4]

Deleted: (b) Alternatively, Law Firm occasionally hires ...

Deleted:

Deleted: Law Firm routinely

Deleted: Virginia Law Firm

Deleted: APPLICABLE RULES AND OPINIONS:

... [6]

Draft version 12/13/2019

Applicable Rules and Opinions

The applicable Rules of Professional Conduct are: Rule 1.1, Competence, Rule 1.2(a), Scope of Representation, Rule 1.4, Communication, Rule 1.5, Fees, Rule 1.6, Confidentiality of Information, Rule 5.3, Responsibilities Regarding Nonlawyer Assistants, and Rule 5.5, Unauthorized Practice of law; Multijurisdictional Practice of Law.

Applicable legal ethics opinions are LEOs 1712 and 1735, regarding the use of temporary lawyers and contract lawyers.

Analysis

A lawyer's ethical duties when outsourcing tasks fall into four categories: supervision of nonlawyers, including unauthorized practice of law issues, client communication and the need for consent to outsourcing arrangements, confidentiality, and billing and fees. This opinion will address each of these categories in order.

Supervision and unauthorized practice of law

The lawyer's initial duty when considering outsourcing, as established by Rule 5.3(b), is to exercise due diligence in the selection of lawyers or nonlawyers. Lawyers have a duty to be competent in the representation of their clients and to ensure that those who are working under their supervision perform competently. See Rule 1.1, To satisfy the duty of competence, a lawyer who outsources legal work must ensure that the tasks in question are delegated to individuals who possess the skills required to perform them and that the individuals are appropriately supervised to ensure competent representation of the client.

The lawyer must also consider whether the lawyer or nonlawyer understands and will comply with the ethical rules that govern the initiating lawyer's conduct and will act in a manner that is compatible with that lawyer's professional obligations, just as in any other supervisory situation. In order to comply with Rule 5.3(b), the lawyer must be able to adequately supervise the nonlawyer if the work is outsourced. Specifically, the lawyer needs to review the nonlawyer's work on an ongoing basis to ensure its quality, the lawyer must maintain ongoing communication to ensure that the nonlawyer is discharging the assignment in accordance with the lawyer's directions and expectations, and the lawyer needs to review thoroughly all work product to ensure its accuracy and reliability and that it is in the client's interest. The lawyer remains ultimately responsible for the conduct and work product of the nonlawyer. Rule 5.3(c)

The Committee recommends that overseas outsourcing, in particular, should include a written outsourcing agreement to protect the law firm. The agreement should include assurances that the outsourced firm or vendor will meet all professional obligations of the hiring lawyer, specifically including confidentiality, information security, conflicts, and unauthorized practice of law. The hiring lawyer should make reasonable inquiry and act competently in choosing a provider that will honor these obligations and use reasonable measures to supervise the vendor's work.

Client communication and consent

T 1	. 1		1.5
De	leted:	outur	ned in

Deleted: The lawyer must ensure that they are competent and determine that they have the appropriate training and skills to perform the tasks requested.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: Deleted: with

Deleted: matter.

Lawyers frequently hire contract lawyers and nonlawyers alike to do legal research, document preparation, or document review. The role of the lawyer in these situations is akin to outsourcing, but on a more localized level. In none of these circumstances does contracting for such services constitute aiding the unlicensed practice of law, provided there is adequate supervision by the lawyer. See e.a., Unauthorized Practice of Law Op. 191 (1998) (permitting an attorney or firm to employ nonlawyer personnel to perform delegated functions under the direct supervision of a licensed attorney). However, the Rules do not permit a nonlawyer to counsel clients about legal matters or to engage in the unauthorized practice of law, and they require that the delegated work shall merge into the lawyer's completed work product. The lawyer must examine and be responsible for all work delegated to nonlawyer personnel and must also assure compliance by nonlawyer personnel with the Rules. Rule 5.3(b). Moreover, the initial and continuing relationship with the client is the responsibility of the employing lawyer.

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: In each of our selected Scenarios, the challengers

[9]

[11]

Deleted: Virginia Law Firm

Deleted: recitals regarding confidentiality, security,

Deleted: third party

Deleted:

Deleted: such

Deleted: follow-up and

Deleted: third party

Deleted: , which should bring the lawyer in compliance with

Deleted: Independent Professional Judgment 9

Deleted: Communication/Consent¶

Deleted: to engage lawyers or nonlawyers who are not. [13]

Draft version 12/13/2019

In LEO 1712, the Committee concluded that when a lawyer hires a temporary lawyer to work on a client's matter, the lawyer must advise the client of that fact and must seek the client's consent to the arrangement if the temporary lawyer will perform independent work for the client and will not work under the direct supervision of a lawyer in the firm. Applying Rules 1.2(a) and 1.4, the Committee concluded that the client is entitled to know who is involved in the representation and can refuse to allow the use of an outsourced lawyer or nonlawyer. Extending that analysis to other outsourcing situations, a lawyer must obtain informed consent from the client if the lawyer is outsourcing legal work to a lawyer or nonlawyer who is not associated with or working under the direct supervision of a lawyer in the firm that the client retained, even if no confidential information is being shared outside of the firm.

Confidentiality,

If confidential client information will be shared with a lawyer or nonlawyer outside of the law firm (meaning either not associated with the firm or directly supervised by a lawyer in the firm), the lawyer must secure the client's consent in advance. The implied authorization of Rule 1.6(a) and its Comment [5a]⁹ to share confidential information within a firm generally does not extend to entities or individuals working outside the law firm. Thus, in a typical outsourcing relationship, no information protected by Rule 1.6 may be revealed without the client's informed consent. Additionally, the lawyer needs to ensure that all appropriate measures have been employed to educate the nonlawyer on the lawyer's duties as they apply to client confidences.

When sharing or storing confidential information, the lawyer must act reasonably to safeguard the information against unauthorized access by third parties and against inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure by anyone under the lawyer's supervision. See Rule 1.6, Comment [19]. For example, the nonlawyer should assure the lawyer that policies and procedures are in place to protect and secure data while in transit and that he or she understands and will abide by the policies and procedures. Written confidentiality agreements are strongly advisable in outsourcing relationships. The outsourcing lawyer should also ask the nonlawyer whether he or she is performing legal services for any parties adverse to the lawyer's client, and remind him or her, preferably in writing, of the need to safeguard the confidences and secrets of the lawyer's current and former clients. See Rule 1.6, Comment [5c].¹²

Billing and Fees

Deleted: this

Deleted: opined

Deleted: engages the services of

Deleted: . which is

Deleted: form of outsourcing

Deleted: Relying on Rule 1 2(a), requiring a lawyer to consult with a client as to the means by which the client's objectives are to be pursued, Rule 1.4, relating to client communication, and Rule 7 5(d)8, prohibiting lawyers from implying that they practice in a partnership or other organization when that is not the fact, this

Deleted: or what entity

Deleted: representing him or her

Deleted: veto

Deleted: ¶

In order to comply with Rule 1.4 in either Scenario 1 or 2(b).

Deleted: directly

Deleted: then there must be informed consent from the client. This requirement does not mean that every time a law firm sends work out to be copied or transcribed, the firm must acquire client consent. Certainly rudimentary functions can be performed outside the firm without clients.

Deleted: client information and, therefore, involves

Deleted:

Deleted: Rule 1.4's client communication duties tie right 61

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: Many foreign jurisdictions have confidentiality[17]

Deleted: If the information outsourced will be transmitted

Deleted:

Deleted:

Moved down [1]; See Rule 1 6, Comment [5c] 11

Deleted: ¶

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted:

Deleted: In Scenarios 1 and 2(b), the Virginia Law Firm [20]

[19]

Deleted: /

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

⁹ Rule 1 6, Comment [5a]: Lawyers frequently need to consult with colleagues or other attorneys in order to competently represent their clients' interests. An overly strict reading of the duty to protect client information would render it difficult for lawyers to consult with each other, which is an important means of continuing professional education and development. A lawyer should exercise great care in discussing a client's case with another attorney from whom advice is sought. Among other things, the lawyer should consider whether the communication risks a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or other applicable protections

¹² Rule 1 6 Comment [5c]

^{]:} Compliance with Rule 1 6(b)(5) might require a written confidentiality agreement with the outside agency to which the lawyer discloses information

Draft version 12/13/2019

In LEO 1712, the Committee discussed the issue of payment arrangements when legal services are outsourced or when temporary lawyers are used. The Committee reiterated its position in LEO 1735, which deals with a lawyer independent contractor. This Committee opines that if payment is billed to the client as a disbursement, then the lawyer must disclose the actual amount of the disbursement including any mark-up or surcharge on the amount actually disbursed to the nonlawyer. Any mark-up or surcharge on the disbursement billed to the client is tested by the principles articulated in ABA Formal Opinion 93-379 (1993):

When that term ["disbursements"] is used, clients justifiably should expect that the lawyer will be passing on to the client those actual payments of funds made by the lawyer on the client's behalf. Thus, if a lawyer hires a court stenographer to transcribe a deposition, the client can reasonably expect to be billed as a disbursement the amount the lawyer pays to the court reporting service. Similarly, if the lawyer flies to Los Angeles for the client, the client can reasonably expect to be billed as a disbursement the amount of the airfare, taxicabs, meals and hotel room.

It is the view of this Committee that in the absence of disclosure to the contrary it would be improper for the lawyer to assess the surcharge on these disbursements over and above the amount actually incurred unless the lawyer incurred additional expenses beyond the actual cost of the disbursement item. In the same regard, if a lawyer receives a discounted rate from a third-party provider, it would be improper for the lawyer to charge the client the full rate and to retain the profit instead of giving the client the discount. Clients could view this practice as an attempt to create profit centers when they had been told they would be billed for disbursements. LEO 1712.

On the other hand, if the lawyer or firm hires a contract lawyer or non-lawyer to work on site or under the direct supervision of the lawyer such that they are considered "associated" with the firm, the lawyer or firm may bill the client for the usual or customary charge the firm would bill for any other associate or employee even if that amount is more than what the firm pays the staffing agency or vendor. The amount paid to the staffing agency or vendor is an overhead expense that the firm is not required to disclose to a client.

This Committee believes that these same principles apply in the case of outsourced legal services. Fees must be reasonable, as required by Rule 1.5(a), and adequately explained to the client, as required by Rule 1.5(b). Further, in a contingent fee case it would be improper to charge separately for work that is usually done by the client's own lawyer and that is incorporated into the standard fee paid to the lawyer, even if that cost is paid to a third-party provider.

Conclusion

A lawyer may ethically outsource services to a <u>lawyer or</u> nonlawyer who is not associated with the firm or working under the direct supervision of a lawyer in the firm if the lawyer (1) rigorously <u>monitors</u> and reviews the work to ensure that the outsourced work meets the lawyer's requirements of competency and to avoid aiding a nonlawyer in the unauthorized practice of law.

Deleted:

Deleted: discusses

Deleted.

Deleted: reiterates

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:) as follows:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Defeteu.

Deleted: The overhead costs associated with the provision of such services may

outsourced services should be billed at cost plus the

Deleted: allocation of cost for supervision if the lawyer is not otherwise charging legal fees associated with review

Deleted: integration of the nonlawyer's work. In

Deleted: also

Deleted: This Committee further relies upon its analysis earlier in this opinion regarding Client Communication/Consent and reiterates that the lawyer must advise the client of the outsourcing of legal services and must obtain client consent anytime there is disclosure of client confidential information to a nonlawyer who is working independently and outside the direct supervision of a lawver in the firm, thereby superseding any exception allowing the lawyer to avoid discussing the legal fees and specific costs associated with the outsourcing of legal services. With adequate disclosure as required by Rule 15(b)13, the lawyer's fee must ultimately meet the reasonableness standard as required in Rule 1 5(a). 14 If outsourcing is contemplated at the outset of an engagement, the outsourcing lawyer should fulfill his duties under Rules 1.2, 1.4, 1.5, and 1.6 by obtaining client cons to the arrangement and providing a reasonable explanation of the fees and costs associated with the outsourced project. These arrangements should be memorialized in writing at the earliest possible date to avoid confusion and disputes over the outsourcing arrangement or its cost to the client. ¶

Deleted: legal support

Deleted: supervises

Deleted: nonlawyer so as

Deleted: the

Deleted: and ensuring that the nonlawyer's work meets the lawyer's requirements of competency

[21]

